# **Geodesic Finite Mixture Models**

Edgar Simo-Serra, Carme Torras, Francesc Moreno-Noguer IRI (CSIC-UPC) Barcelona, Spain



# **PROBLEM:**

- Estimation of the Probability Density Function of data that lies on a known Riemannian Manifold
- Clustering of data on a known Riemannian Manifold

Institut de Robòtica

Informàtica Industrial



- Unsupervised clustering algorithm for manifolds
- One tangent space per cluster
- Efficient implementation

# **KEY FEATURES**:

- Generative Model
- Fully unsupervised
- Scales well to large datasets



## **GIVEN**: Known Manifold

Points on Manifold

#### WE WANT TO ESTIMATE:

- ✓ Number of Clusters
- **Cluster Parameters**  $\checkmark$





#### MANIFOLDS, GEODESICS, AND TANGENT SPACES

- Geodesic distance between two points on a manifold is the shortest distance between the two points on the manifold
- **Tangent space** is a local approximation of a manifold that is a **Euclidean space**
- Geodesic distances on the tangent space are exact between any point and the origin, but approximate between any pair of arbitrary points



#### **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS:**

Evaluate on synthetic and real datasets SPHERE EXAMPLE





(c) Number of Clusters (d) Solution Cluster #1

#### **QUADRATIC SURFACE EXAMPLE**





(c) Number of Clusters (d) Solution Cluster #1 (b) Cost Function

# **STATISTICS ON TANGENT SPACES**

Mean estimated on manifold using geodesic mean  $\mu = \arg\min_{p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} d(x_i, p)^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mu(t+1) = \exp_{\mu(t)} \left( \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_{\mu(t)} (x_i) \right)$ 

Iterate until convergence

to obtain geodesic mean

Covariance estimated on tangent space in closed form

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_{\mu}(x_i) \log_{\mu}(x_i)^{\top}$$

Given the mean and covariance we define a normal distribution on the tangent space as:  $(10\sigma (r)^{\top}\Sigma^{-1})c$ 

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu}(v, \Sigma^{-1}) = \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{\log_{\mu}(x) + \Sigma^{-1} \log_{\mu}(x)}{2}\right)$$

# **UNSUPERVISED FINITE MIXTURE MODELLING**

- Extension of unsupervised learning of finite mixture models [1]
- Center each cluster on a tangent space to minimize geodesic error
- Minimum Message Length (MML) used to determine number of clusters
- **Expectation-Maximization (EM)** algorithm

E-step





(e) Solution Cluster #2 (f) Solution Cluster #3 (g) Solution Cluster #4 (h) Solution Cluster #5

### **ROBUSTNESS ANALYSIS**

#### Comparison with

(a) Input Data

- 1-TM: One tangent plane
- vMF: von Mises-Fischer distributions [2]
- GMM: Euclidean mixture model

	6 Clust	ters	8 Clusters					
	Clusters	Correct	Clusters	Correct				
Ours	6.09 (0.32)	0.92	8.00 (0.00)	1.00				
<b>1-TM</b>	7.05 (1.38)	0.46	15.25 (2.17)	0.00				
vMF	16.59 (1.71)	0.00	19.86 (2.35)	0.00				



#### **REAL REGRESSION EXAMPLE**

- Trained on full poses of Human3.6m dataset [3]
- Task: Hallucination of left arm

#### M-step





Final Distribution 
$$p(x|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k p(x|\theta_k)$$
 with  $p(x|\theta_k) \approx \mathcal{N}_{\mu_k}(0, \Sigma_k^{-1})$   
Regression  $p(x_A|x_B, \theta) = \frac{p(x_A, x_B|\theta)}{p(x_B|\theta_B)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k p(x_B|\theta_{k,B}) p(x_A|x_B, \theta_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k p(x_B|\theta_{k,B})}$ 

(a) Scenario 1 (b) Scenario 2						(c) Scenario 3			(d) So	cenario 4	ļ	(e) Scenario 5			
	Scenario 1		Scenario 2		Scenario 3		Scenario 4			Scenario 5					
	MGJE	MJE	MLLE	MGJE	MJE	MLLE	MGJE	MJE	MLLE	MGJE	MJE	MLLE	MGJE	MJE	MLLE
Ours	0.446	105.8	0.0	0.468	110.1	0.0	0.349	81.7	0.0	0.458	108.2	0.0	0.597	135.7	0.0
vMF	0.481	114.5	0.0	0.568	134.8	0.0	0.470	110.2	0.0	0.496	118.0	0.0	0.698	162.3	0.0
<b>1-TM</b>	0.522	123.0	0.0	0.640	148.7	0.0	0.535	124.9	0.0	0.548	130.2	0.0	0.765	175.1	0.0
GMM	1.111	103.1	19.0	1.167	106.6	27.5	1.152	77.6	11.3	1.272	101.0	14.2	1.401	127.3	24.8

#### REFERENCES

M. Figueiredo and A. Jain. Unsupervised Learning of Finite Mixture Models. PAMI, 2002. [1] A. Banerjee, I. S. Dhillon, J. Ghosh, S. Sra, and G. Ridgeway. Clustering on the unit hypersphere [2] using von mises-fisher distributions. JMLR, 2005. C. Ionescu, D. Papava, V. Olaru, and C. Sminchisescu. Human3.6m: Large scale datasets and [3]

predictive methods for 3d human sensing in natural environments. PAMI, 2014.